

144968



KERR-McGEE CORPORATION

KERR-McGEE CENTER • OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73125

ENVIRONMENT AND HEALTH MANAGEMENT DIVISION

June 23, 1987

RECEIVED 5

JUL 09 1987 1342-87

AIRBORNE EXPRESS

OFFICE OF
PUBLIC AFFAIRS 7-23

Ms. Susan Swales 5 HE-12
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region V
Waste Management Division
CERCLA Enforcement Section
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

RE: Dead Creek - Area G
Sauget Site
Sauget, Illinois

RECEIVED
JUL 21 1987
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION V
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Could you
provide me with
any applicable
documents?

Thanks

Alan

After

6-3007

Dear Ms. Swales:

On May 26, 1987, Kerr-McGee Chemical Corporation (Kerr-McGee) received a letter from Basil G. Constantelos, EPA, notifying the company that EPA has information that Kerr-McGee may be a potentially responsible party for the subject site. Although we have filed a Freedom of Information Act request (which the Freedom of Information Officer for Region V received on June 1, 1987), we have not yet received copies of the information EPA purportedly has. We would be interested in seeing that information, since our research indicates that neither Kerr-McGee nor its predecessors in Sauget, T. J. Moss Tie Company and Moss-American Corporation, ever generated, transported, or disposed of hazardous substances at the subject site.

The following information answers questions 1-11 in Mr. Constantelos' May 19, 1987 letter:

1. We have no information or reason to believe that Kerr-McGee or its predecessors ever generated hazardous substances which were then transported to the subject site for disposal.
2. Neither Kerr-McGee nor its predecessor companies has ever owned, operated or leased the subject site.
3. We have no technical information on the subject site.
4. See answers 1 & 2.
5. See answer 3.
6. No, we are not planning at this time to perform any investigations of the environmental conditions at the subject site.

Ms. Susan Swales
June 23, 1987
Page 2

7. Assuming that this question is limited to activities at the subject site, the answer is "no".
9. Assuming that this question is limited to activities at the subject site, the answer is "no".
10. We have no knowledge of any releases at the subject site.
11. We have no knowledge of soil removal from the subject site.

Very truly yours,

Barbara Hoffman

Barbara Hoffman, Director
Environmental Liaison Services

BH: 1j

I certify that the statements in the foregoing letter are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Barbara Hoffman

Barbara Hoffman

June 23, 1987

Date

Linda Johnson

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

6-19-90